

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/834,778	04/12/2001	Daniel P. Silver	20363-011	3764	
75	90 08/06/2003				
MINTZ, LEVIN, COHN, FERRIS,			EXAMINER		
GLOVSKY and One Financial C	•		SULLIVAN,	SULLIVAN, DANIEL M	
Boston, MA 0	2111		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			1636	19	
			DATE MAILED: 08/06/2003	DATE MAILED: 08/06/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/834,778	SILVER ET AL.	
,,,	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Daniel M Sullivan	1636	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 07 July 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica ) a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply h places the applica	y to a ition in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		•
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).</li> </ul>	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Official timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the mai	ount of the fee. The appropriate or the final or the fina	opriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	•		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) 🗵 they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);	
(b) \( \square\) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);	•	
(c)  they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	mplifying the
(d) 🖾 they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	S.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) <u>2-5 and 7-21</u> amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	would be allowable if submitted	in a separate, timely	/ filed
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: <u>14-17 and 21</u> .	·		
Claim(s) objected to:	•		•
Claim(s) rejected: 2-5,7-13 and 18-20.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			•
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)∭ approved or b)∭ disapp	roved by the Exami	ner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		
10. Other:	· · · · · · · ·		



Continuation of 2. NOTE: Claims 52-55 expand the scope of the claimed subject matter thus requiring a new search. Of the claims pending at the time of final rejection, claims 52-55 are most like claims 14, 15 or 21. However, claims 52-55 are not limited to a nucleic acid comprising signal sequences flanking a negative regulatory element of the target gene, so that expression of the recombinase results in excision of a negative regulatory element, as is claim 14; claims 52-55 are not limited to a nucleic acid comprising signal sequences in an inverted orientation with respect to one another, as is claim 15; and claims 52-55 are not limited to first and second nucleic acid molecules present in separate vectors, as is claim 20. Thus, the scope of the newly added claims is broader than the scope of the finally rejected claims and would require additional search and examination..

JAMES KETTER PRIMARY EXAMINER